

Topic	Comment	Author	Date
10.15 District 15: East Jackson	<p>My recommendation applies to the residential low classification wherever, not just to East Jackson. I recommend that all lots be restricted to no more than 15% of the lot surface area for building construction. Furthermore, I recommend that no more than 2 buildings be permitted for each lot of 50ft. by 150ft. in size.</p> <p>My rational is this. These restrictions would allow for 85% of the lot to be in open space for a yard where children could safely play and not be forced to play in the adjacent street.</p>	Alan F. Galbraith	4/23/2009 23:37
10.15 District 15: East Jackson	<p>I would like to comment on changes to the comp plan that effect a particular section of East Jackson - Broadway between No Name and Stormy Circle. I am a home owner that shares a boundary line with a large piece of property that has a zoning boundary in the middle of the parcel. The 92' south of Broadway is zoned OP and the 108' of property directly south of this is zoned NC-SF as stated in the 1994 comp plan.</p> <p>This is consistent with the southern extent of OP zoning along Broadway. In the new comp plan, it appears that this entire piece of property has been rezoned into a category that would allow a significant amount of Commercial development. The size of the parcel also allows it to be considered for a PMUD. This change is absolutely not taking into account the character of the neighborhood, but rather favoring the owner of this property by changing the potential development opportunities based on property ownership. This is not acceptable.</p> <p>The property directly west and north of me also shares a boundary with this particular property but it is not being considered for the same zoning change? Again, this shows that zoning changes are being made based on ownership - this is not how the Town of Jackson should be setting priorities.</p> <p>My strong suggestion is to keep the zoning boundary between OP and Single Family residential in the same location as was set in the 1994 comp plan.</p> <p>I look forward to hearing back from you with regard to how you have justified the proposed zoning change for this parcel.</p> <p>Thanks</p>	Kate Wilmot	5/15/2009 0:07
10.15 District 15: East Jackson	If more development is to occur in this area, it must be sustainable with the traffic and public transportation. More open space and parks must be created.	Robin McGee	5/12/2009 11:26
10.15 District 15: East Jackson	Density bonuses for Single Family Mixed is in conflict with statement that East Jackson is a Town Stable District	Save Historic Jackson Hole	5/15/2009 15:01