

What is your age? (select one)	On-Line Survey March 2008		Public Meeting - January 30, 2008		UW Phone Survey - March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count	Response Percent	Response Count	Valid Percent	Response Count
Under 18 years	0.2%	2	0.6%	1	0.0%	0
18-29 years	19.1%	184	8.8%	16	11.0%	63
30-44 years	35.8%	344	24.2%	44	30.3%	174
45-64 years	37.2%	358	53.9%	98	46.6%	268
65 years and older	7.7%	74	12.6%	23	12.2%	70
<i>answered question</i>		962	100%	182	100%	575
<i>skipped question</i>		-1				

What is your gender? (select one)	On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Female	52.5%	505
Male	47.5%	457
<i>answered question</i>		962
<i>skipped question</i>		-1

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count
51.1%	92
48.9%	88
100%	180

UW Phone Survey - March 2008	
Valid Percent	Response Count
50.8%	292
49.2%	283
100%	585

What is your connection to the area? (select one)	On-Line Survey March 2008			Public Meeting - January 30, 2008			UW Phone Survey - March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count		Response Percent	Response Count		Valid Percent	Response Count
Full-Time Resident	90.1%	867		97.8%	179		91.4%	533
Part-Time Resident	5.5%	53		1.1%	2		6.0%	35
Work but don't live in Teton County	4.1%	39		1.1%	2		2.6%	15
Visitor	0.3%	3		0.0%	0		0.0%	0
<i>answered question</i>		962		100%	183		100%	583
<i>skipped question</i>		-1						

Where do you live (full or part-time)? (select one)	On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Buffalo Valley or Kelly	1.6%	15
Town of Jackson	39.9%	384
Wilson	16.2%	156
Alta	0.2%	2
Teton Village	1.2%	12
South Park Area	24.2%	233
Hoback Junction Area	2.3%	22
Other part of Teton County	9.0%	87
Teton County, Idaho	2.6%	25
Outside Teton County	2.7%	26
Other (please specify)		96
<i>answered question</i>		962
<i>skipped question</i>		-1

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008		UW Phone Survey - March 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count	Valid Percent	Response Count
3.2%	6	3.0%	17
33.5%	62	41.2%	233
19.5%	36	15.0%	85
0.0%	0	0.2%	1
1.1%	2	3.5%	20
29.7%	55	16.4%	93
3.2%	6	5.5%	31
9.2%	17	15.2%	86
0.0%	0		
0.5%	1		
100%	185	100%	566

How many years have you lived in the area? (select one)	On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Less than 1 year	2.7%	26
1-2 years	7.3%	70
3-5 years	17.4%	167
6-10 years	21.1%	203
11- 20 years	23.1%	222
Over 20 years	28.5%	274
<i>answered question</i>		962
<i>skipped question</i>		-1

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008		UW Phone Survey - March 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count	Valid Percent	Response Count
1.6%	3	1.9%	11
7.7%	14	6.9%	40
10.4%	19	11.2%	65
13.7%	25	18.0%	104
24.0%	44	23.3%	135
42.6%	78	38.7%	224
100%	183	100%	579

What is your field of work or affiliation? (select one)	On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Local, state or federal government	9.7%	93
Non-Profit	9.3%	89
Education or healthcare	13.2%	127
Land development or construction	11.2%	108
Agriculture/ranching	0.2%	2
Service industry (retail, recreation, tourism)	17.0%	164
Mining / energy sector	0.6%	6
At-home caregiver	2.2%	21
Retired	8.7%	84
Other	27.9%	268
Other (please specify)		267
<i>answered question</i>		962
<i>skipped question</i>		-1

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008		
Response Percent	Response Count	
13.0%	24	
16.3%	30	
7.1%	13	
14.7%	27	
1.6%	3	
13.6%	25	
1.1%	2	
0.5%	1	
15.2%	28	
16.9%	31	
100%	184	

Do you own or rent your home? (select one)	On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Own	76.2%	733
Rent	23.8%	229
<i>answered question</i>		962
<i>skipped question</i>		-1

How well do the vision and themes capture your values?	On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Very Well	49.3%	445
Somewhat	37.6%	339
Neutral	4.7%	42
Not Quite	4.4%	40
Not Very Well	3.8%	34
Don't know / no opinion	0.3%	3
<i>answered question</i>		902
<i>skipped question</i>		59

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count
43.9%	83
38.1%	72
5.8%	11
5.8%	11
4.8%	9
1.6%	3
100%	189

Based on the vision and its themes, pick the theme that you think is the 1st highest priority for Teton County and Jackson. (select one)	On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
1. Provide Stewardship of Wildlife Habitat and other Environmentally	38.1%	344
2. Meet Community's Diverse Housing Needs	17.6%	159
3. Develop a Comprehensive, Integrated Transportation System	0.4%	4
4. Manage Growth Responsibly	26.0%	235
5. Maintain Jackson as "Heart of the Region"	4.9%	44
6. Provide for a Diverse and Balanced Community	9.4%	85
Equally important	3.8%	34
<i>answered question</i>		904
<i>skipped question</i>		57

Based on the vision and its themes, pick the theme that you think is the 2nd highest priority for Teton County and Jackson. (select one)	On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
1. Provide Stewardship of Wildlife Habitat and other Environmentally Sensitive Areas	21.3%	193
2. Meet Community's Diverse Housing Needs	13.2%	120
3. Develop a Comprehensive, Integrated Transportation System	6.2%	56
4. Manage Growth Responsibly	34.3%	311
5. Maintain Jackson as "Heart of the Region"	10.3%	93
6. Provide for a Diverse and Balanced Community	11.1%	101
Equally important	3.6%	33
<i>answered question</i>		906
<i>skipped question</i>		55

Based on the vision and its themes, pick the theme that you think is the 3rd highest priority for Teton County and Jackson. (select one)	On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
1. Provide Stewardship of Wildlife Habitat and other Environmentally Sensitive Areas	14.8%	133
2. Meet Community's Diverse Housing Needs	13.2%	119
3. Develop a Comprehensive, Integrated Transportation System	17.4%	157
4. Manage Growth Responsibly	16.4%	148
5. Maintain Jackson as "Heart of the Region"	17.8%	160
6. Provide for a Diverse and Balanced Community	14.5%	131
Equally important	6.0%	54
<i>answered question</i>		901
<i>skipped question</i>		60

Which goal do you think is a higher priority for Jackson and Teton County? (select one)	On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Preserve more open space	46.1%	412
Build more deed restricted workforce/affordable housing	26.8%	240
Equally important	25.2%	225
Don't know / no opinion	2.0%	18
<i>answered question</i>		894
<i>skipped question</i>		67

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008		UW Phone Survey - March 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count	Valid Percent	Response Count
53.9%	105	46.2%	263
19.0%	37	30.8%	175
27.2%	53	23.0%	131
0.0%	0		
100%	195	100%	569

Which goal do you think is a higher priority for Jackson and Teton County? (select one)	On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Preserve more wildlife habitat and wildlife migration corridors	55.0%	491
Build more deed restricted workforce/ affordable housing	19.4%	173
Equally important	24.0%	214
Don't know / no opinion	1.8%	16
<i>answered question</i>		893
<i>skipped question</i>		68

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008		UW Phone Survey - March 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count	Valid Percent	Response Count
66.9%	117	59.5%	339
15.4%	27	20.7%	118
16.6%	29	19.8%	113
1.1%	2		
100%	175	100%	570

Which goal do you think is a higher priority for Jackson and Teton County? (select one)	On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Limit overall growth in the valley	48.6%	437
Build more deed restricted workforce/ affordable housing	33.9%	305
Equally important	15.1%	136
Don't know / no opinion	2.4%	22
<i>answered question</i>		899
<i>skipped question</i>		62

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008		UW Phone Survey - March 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count	Valid Percent	Response Count
56.3%	107	53.2%	294
23.2%	44	36.5%	202
20.0%	38	10.3%	57
0.5%	1		
100%	190	100%	553

Which goal do you think is a higher priority for Jackson and Teton County? (select one)	On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Add traffic capacity by widening roads	8.8%	79
Preserve wildlife migration corridors	75.3%	675
Equally important	13.6%	122
Don't know / no opinion	2.3%	21
<i>answered question</i>		896
<i>skipped question</i>		65

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008		UW Phone Survey - March 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count	Valid Percent	Response Count
6.2%	12	26.3%	149
84.5%	164	54.7%	310
7.7%	15	19.0%	108
1.6%	3		
100%	194	100%	567

Which goal do you think is a higher priority for Jackson and Teton County? (select one)	On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Increase transit services	74.3%	667
Build new roads	8.4%	75
Equally important	10.8%	97
Don't know / no opinion	6.7%	60
<i>answered question</i>		898
<i>skipped question</i>		63

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008		UW Phone Survey - March 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count	Valid Percent	Response Count
76.6%	147	61.3%	348
8.9%	17	25.4%	144
10.4%	20	13.4%	76
4.2%	8		
100%	192	100%	568

Which goal do you think is a higher priority for Jackson and Teton County? (select one)	On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Expand deed restricted workforce/affordable housing & community services in outlying	24.8%	222
Centralize workforce housing and services in Jackson	56.0%	501
Equally important	12.4%	111
Don't know / no opinion	6.9%	62
<i>answered question</i>		895
<i>skipped question</i>		66

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008		UW Phone Survey - March 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count	Valid Percent	Response Count
11.8%	23	31.2%	169
71.8%	140	57.6%	312
14.9%	29	11.3%	61
1.5%	3		
100%	195	100%	542

Which goal do you think is a higher priority for Jackson and Teton County? (select one)	On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Put a cap on resort developments (allow only currently approved resorts)	77.4%	698
Allow for additional resort developments	12.9%	116
Don't know / no opinion	9.9%	89
<i>answered question</i>		902
<i>skipped question</i>		59

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008		
Response Percent	Response Count	
81.7%	156	
10.5%	20	
7.9%	15	
100%	191	

With which of the following statements about deed restricted workforce/ affordable housing do you most strongly agree? (select one)	On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Provide deed restricted workforce/affordable housing in the Valley for all workers	49.6%	446
Provide deed restricted workforce/affordable housing in the Valley primarily for emergency service and other essential workers	35.3%	318
No more deed restricted workforce/affordable housing in the Valley	12.4%	112
Don't know / no opinion	2.8%	25
answered question		900
skipped question		61

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008		UW Phone Survey - March 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count	Valid Percent	Response Count
39.9%	77	43.7%	244
43.0%	83	40.0%	223
16.1%	31	16.3%	91
1.0%	2		
100%	193	100%	558

With which of the following statements about Town development do you most strongly agree? (select one)	On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Maintain current building heights in town today	28.7%	258
Allow careful redevelopment of mixed-use outside of the town square with up to 3 story buildings	38.9%	350
Allow careful redevelopment of mixed-use outside of the town square with up to 4 story buildings	32.6%	293
<i>answered question</i>		900
<i>skipped question</i>		61

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008		UW Phone Survey - March 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count	Valid Percent	Response Count
30.5%	58	39.6%	224
42.6%	81	36.6%	207
26.8%	51	23.7%	134
100%	193	100%	565

More development and population in the Town of Jackson is OK if this means less development in the county. (select one to indicate your level of agreement)	On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree	33.4%	301
Agree	32.7%	295
Neutral	12.8%	115
Disagree	12.2%	110
Strongly Disagree	7.7%	69
Don't know / no opinion	1.3%	12
<i>answered question</i>		901
<i>skipped question</i>		60

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count
44.0%	85
34.2%	66
8.8%	17
6.2%	12
5.7%	11
1.0%	2
100%	193

More development and population in the Town of Jackson is OK if this means preserving agricultural and natural resource areas in the county. (select one)	On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree	43.4%	392
Agree	35.1%	317
Neutral	9.3%	84
Disagree	6.2%	56
Strongly Disagree	5.5%	50
Don't know / no opinion	0.7%	6
<i>answered question</i>		904
<i>skipped question</i>		57

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count
64.4%	125
21.1%	41
6.7%	13
4.6%	9
3.1%	6
0.0%	0
100%	194

Expansion of town-level density into South Park (within 1/2 mile of High School Road) to promote more	On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree	18.0%	162
Agree	27.1%	244
Neutral	9.4%	85
Disagree	13.8%	124
Strongly Disagree	30.6%	276
Don't know / no opinion	1.2%	11
<i>answered question</i>		901
<i>skipped question</i>		60

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008		UW Phone Survey - March 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count	Valid Percent	Response Count
40.2%	78	19.0%	107
37.1%	72	41.3%	233
6.2%	12	11.0%	62
8.3%	16	17.6%	99
8.3%	16	11.2%	63
0.0%	0		
100%	194	100%	564

Expansion of town-level density into South Park (within 1/2 mile of High School Road) to provide for more housing is OK if an equal amount of land somewhere else in the County is	On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree	13.3%	120
Agree	22.0%	198
Neutral	14.8%	133
Disagree	18.8%	169
Strongly Disagree	28.9%	260
Don't know / no opinion	2.4%	22
	answered question	901
	skipped question	60

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008		UW Phone Survey - March 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count	Valid Percent	Response Count
32.7%	36	16.8%	95
24.6%	27	45.9%	260
10.9%	12	11.3%	64
13.6%	15	17.3%	98
15.5%	17	8.8%	50
2.7%	3		
100%	110	100%	567

Limit growth and development in the county overall, even if it reduces the ability to provide deed restricted workforce/ affordable housing in the Valley. (select one)	On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree	27.3%	247
Agree	19.4%	175
Neutral	9.6%	87
Disagree	23.7%	214
Strongly Disagree	19.4%	175
Don't know / no opinion	0.8%	7
<i>answered question</i>		904
<i>skipped question</i>		57

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008		UW Phone Survey - March 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count	Valid Percent	Response Count
40.7%	79	20.4%	116
21.7%	42	30.6%	174
5.2%	10	10.7%	61
15.5%	30	26.2%	149
16.0%	31	12.0%	68
1.0%	2		
100%	194	100%	568

Keep current home and lot sizes stable in existing single-family neighborhoods in Jackson to preserve neighborhood character. (select one)	On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree	28.2%	255
Agree	34.5%	312
Neutral	17.2%	156
Disagree	11.7%	106
Strongly Disagree	5.3%	48
Don't know / no opinion	3.2%	29
<i>answered question</i>		905
<i>skipped question</i>		56

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count
38.0%	73
22.9%	44
13.0%	25
17.2%	33
7.8%	15
1.0%	2
100%	192

(Conservation Strategy) Consider relocation of development rights to identified growth areas to accomplish objectives such as agricultural and wildlife habitat protection. (select one)			On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options			Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree			28.8%	251
Agree			46.3%	404
Neutral			11.7%	102
Disagree			6.8%	59
Strongly Disagree			3.0%	26
Don't know / no opinion			3.6%	31
			<i>answered question</i>	873
			<i>skipped question</i>	88

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008		UW Phone Survey - March 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count	Valid Percent	Response Count
33.5%	60	11.0%	57
39.7%	71	47.7%	247
9.5%	17	18.7%	97
7.8%	14	18.5%	96
6.2%	11	4.1%	21
3.4%	6		
100%	179	100%	367

(Conservation Strategy) Establish a funding source (i.e., a bond, fees, sales or property tax) to acquire open space or conservation areas for critical habitat.		On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options		Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree		32.0%	280
Agree		37.6%	329
Neutral		13.5%	118
Disagree		10.1%	88
Strongly Disagree		4.6%	40
Don't know / no opinion		2.2%	19
		<i>answered question</i>	874
		<i>skipped question</i>	87

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008		UW Phone Survey - March 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count	Valid Percent	Response Count
55.3%	100	19.1%	109
22.7%	41	40.7%	232
8.3%	15	11.1%	63
8.8%	16	20.5%	117
5.0%	9	8.6%	49
0.0%	0		
100%	179	100%	570

(Conservation Strategy) Implement stronger standards to protect natural resource areas as part of new developments. (select one)			On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count		
Strongly Agree	51.1%	446		
Agree	31.1%	271		
Neutral	8.6%	75		
Disagree	6.0%	52		
Strongly Disagree	1.9%	17		
Don't know / no opinion	1.3%	11		
		<i>answered question</i>	872	
		<i>skipped question</i>	89	

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008		UW Phone Survey - March 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count	Valid Percent	Response Count
66.9%	123	39.3%	227
20.1%	37	41.1%	237
5.4%	10	8.1%	47
4.4%	8	8.1%	47
2.7%	5	3.3%	19
0.5%	1		
100%	179	100%	577

(Conservation Strategy) Make zoning changes to eliminate all density bonus options (i.e., Planned Unit Development (PUDs), Accessory Residential Units (ARUs)) to protect natural resources and rural character. (select one)		On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options		Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree		23.5%	204
Agree		21.3%	185
Neutral		16.7%	145
Disagree		18.3%	159
Strongly Disagree		11.0%	96
Don't know / no opinion		9.2%	80
		<i>answered question</i>	869
		<i>skipped question</i>	92

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count
54.4%	99
15.4%	28
7.1%	13
11.5%	21
8.8%	16
2.8%	5
100%	182

(Conservation Strategy) Would you be willing to pay more in taxes to conserve open space and natural areas? (select one)		On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		70.7%	613
No		29.3%	254
<i>answered question</i>			867
<i>skipped question</i>			94

(Conservation Strategy) How much would you be willing to pay in taxes to conserve open space and natural areas? (select one)	On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Nothing	24.5%	213
\$100/year	36.6%	318
\$250/year	20.5%	178
\$500/year	8.7%	76
More than \$500/year	9.7%	84
<i>answered question</i>		869
<i>skipped question</i>		92

UW Phone Survey - March 2008	
Valid Percent	Response Count
28.8%	154
28.7%	153
19.9%	106
10.1%	54
12.5%	67
100%	534

(Housing Strategy) The town and county should require a higher amount of deed restricted workforce/affordable housing to be built as part of new developments. (select one)		On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count	
Strongly Agree	43.6%	380	
Agree	26.1%	227	
Neutral	9.5%	83	
Disagree	9.5%	83	
Strongly Disagree	10.4%	91	
Don't know / no opinion	0.8%	7	
		<i>answered question</i>	871
		<i>skipped question</i>	90

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008		UW Phone Survey - March 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count	Valid Percent	Response Count
49.5%	91	26.3%	151
21.7%	40	42.9%	246
4.4%	8	7.7%	44
10.9%	20	13.8%	79
12.5%	23	9.4%	54
1.1%	2		
100%	184	100%	574

(Housing Strategy) The town and county should continue to use incentives (e.g., bonus densities for more housing, etc.), rather than requirements, to encourage more deed restricted workforce/affordable housing in new development. (select one)		On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options		Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree		12.5%	109
Agree		23.3%	203
Neutral		14.3%	125
Disagree		25.2%	220
Strongly Disagree		19.0%	166
Don't know / no opinion		5.7%	50
		<i>answered question</i>	873
		<i>skipped question</i>	88

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008		UIW Phone Survey - March 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count	Valid Percent	Response Count
24.9%	45	10.7%	60
19.3%	35	39.6%	223
5.5%	10	9.6%	54
19.3%	35	27.0%	152
29.8%	54	13.1%	74
1.1%	2		
100%	181	100%	563

(Housing Strategy) Would you be willing to pay more in taxes to provide deed restricted workforce/affordable housing in the Valley? (select one)		On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		38.8%	336
No		61.2%	529
		<i>answered question</i>	865
		<i>skipped question</i>	96

(Housing Strategy) How much would you be willing to pay in taxes for deed restricted workforce/affordable housing? (select one)		On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options		Response Percent	Response Count
Nothing		59.5%	514
\$100/year		23.1%	200
\$250/year		10.4%	90
\$500/year		4.2%	36
More than \$500/year		2.8%	24
		<i>answered question</i>	864
		<i>skipped question</i>	97

(Housing Strategy) The county's total buildout should be increased (e.g., allow more development overall) as an affordable housing strategy. (select one)		On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options		Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree		10.9%	95
Agree		15.5%	135
Neutral		11.4%	99
Disagree		22.2%	193
Strongly Disagree		37.3%	325
Don't know / no opinion		2.8%	24
		<i>answered question</i>	871
		<i>skipped question</i>	90

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008		UW Phone Survey - March 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count	Valid Percent	Response Count
8.7%	16	13.9%	78
10.3%	19	37.2%	209
6.0%	11	12.8%	72
21.2%	39	23.8%	134
50.5%	93	12.3%	69
3.3%	6		
100%	184	100%	562

(Growth Management Strategy) To protect natural resources and rural character, the county should reduce potential development in remote unplatted areas to 1 unit/35 acres. (select one)			On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options			Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree			27.8%	242
Agree			26.7%	232
Neutral			15.9%	138
Disagree			14.5%	126
Strongly Disagree			6.8%	59
Don't know / no opinion			8.4%	73
			<i>answered question</i>	870
			<i>skipped question</i>	91

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008		UW Phone Survey - March 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count	Valid Percent	Response Count
47.2%	85	13.2%	72
20.0%	36	30.9%	169
7.2%	13	14.4%	79
12.8%	23	31.6%	173
10.0%	18	9.9%	54
2.8%	5		
100%	180	100%	547

(Growth Management Strategy) Set a restriction on the amount of annual growth allowed (e.g., 1 or 2% increase per year). (select one)	On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree	26.1%	227
Agree	27.9%	243
Neutral	16.4%	143
Disagree	16.9%	147
Strongly Disagree	8.4%	73
Don't know / no opinion	4.4%	38
<i>answered question</i>		871
<i>skipped question</i>		90

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008		UW Phone Survey - March 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count	Valid Percent	Response Count
35.2%	56	16.5%	92
23.3%	37	31.5%	176
3.8%	6	13.3%	74
18.9%	30	28.7%	160
17.6%	28	10.0%	56
1.3%	2		
100%	159	100%	558

(Transportation Strategy) Focus our efforts on constructing roads to relieve congestion. (select one)	On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree	6.8%	59
Agree	19.3%	168
Neutral	13.5%	117
Disagree	33.6%	292
Strongly Disagree	26.6%	231
Don't know / no opinion	0.2%	2
<i>answered question</i>		869
<i>skipped question</i>		92

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008		UW Phone Survey - March 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count	Valid Percent	Response Count
11.2%	20	10.3%	58
19.6%	35	28.3%	159
8.9%	16	11.1%	62
25.7%	46	35.3%	198
34.1%	61	15.0%	84
0.6%	1		
100%	179	100%	561

(Transportation Strategy) Would you be willing to pay more in taxes to expand road capacity in the town and Valley? (select one)		On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		19.6%	169
No		80.4%	692
<i>answered question</i>			861
<i>skipped question</i>			100

(Transportation Strategy) How much would you be willing to pay in taxes to expand road capacity in the town and Valley? (select one)		On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options		Response Percent	Response Count
Nothing		79.3%	686
\$100/year		14.5%	125
\$250/year		3.9%	34
\$500/year		1.3%	11
More than \$500/year		1.0%	9
<i>answered question</i>			865
<i>skipped question</i>			96

(Transportation Strategy) Construct carpool-bus lanes to relieve congestion. (select one)		On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count	
Strongly Agree	8.3%	72	
Agree	18.1%	157	
Neutral	18.3%	159	
Disagree	29.5%	256	
Strongly Disagree	22.6%	196	
Don't know / no opinion	3.2%	28	
<i>answered question</i>		868	
<i>skipped question</i>		93	

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count
12.1%	20
27.1%	45
7.8%	13
26.5%	44
24.7%	41
1.8%	3
100%	166

In Jackson UW Phone Survey March 2008	
Valid Percent	Response Count
5.8%	33
27.4%	156
12.5%	71
40.5%	231
13.9%	79
100%	570

In County UW Phone Survey March 2008	
Valid Percent	Response Count
5.6%	32
28.0%	159
11.1%	63
41.0%	233
14.3%	81
100%	568

(Transportation Strategy) We should expand bus service to relieve congestion. (select one)		On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count	
Strongly Agree	42.4%	368	
Agree	38.9%	337	
Neutral	10.6%	92	
Disagree	4.5%	39	
Strongly Disagree	2.1%	18	
Don't know / no opinion	1.5%	13	
<i>answered question</i>		867	
<i>skipped question</i>		94	

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count
12.1%	99
27.1%	58
7.8%	5
26.5%	9
24.7%	8
1.8%	1
100%	180

(Transportation Strategy) Would you be willing to pay more in taxes to expand bus transit service in the town and Valley? (select one)		On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		61.5%	528
No		38.5%	331
		<i>answered question</i>	859
		<i>skipped question</i>	102

(Transportation Strategy) How much would you be willing to pay in taxes to expand bus transit service in the town and Valley? (select one)		On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options		Response Percent	Response Count
Nothing		38.9%	333
\$100/year		45.6%	391
\$250/year		10.9%	93
\$500/year		2.9%	25
More than \$500/year		1.8%	15
		<i>answered question</i>	857
		<i>skipped question</i>	104

(Transportation Strategy) We should construct pathways to provide choices. (select one)	On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree	52.5%	456
Agree	31.5%	273
Neutral	9.1%	79
Disagree	3.2%	28
Strongly Disagree	3.1%	27
Don't know / no opinion	0.6%	5
<i>answered question</i>		868
<i>skipped question</i>		93

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count
61.6%	106
26.7%	46
4.1%	7
2.3%	4
5.2%	9
0.0%	0
100%	172

(Transportation Strategy) Would you be willing to pay more in taxes to expand pathways in the Valley? (select one)		On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		71.2%	617
No		28.8%	249
<i>answered question</i>			866
<i>skipped question</i>			95

(Transportation Strategies) How much would you be willing to pay in taxes for pathways? (select one)	On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Nothing	29.5%	255
\$100/year	49.9%	431
\$250/year	12.4%	107
\$500/year	4.4%	38
More than \$500/year	3.8%	33
<i>answered question</i>		864
<i>skipped question</i>		97

(Scenario A) Designate agricultural lands in Alta as areas from which density can be transferred to the Town of Jackson. (select one)		On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options		Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree		6.8%	58
Agree		15.7%	134
Neutral		19.7%	168
Disagree		22.7%	194
Strongly Disagree		18.3%	156
Don't know / no opinion		17.2%	147
		<i>answered question</i>	854
		<i>skipped question</i>	107

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count
8.8%	17
24.4%	47
14.5%	28
20.2%	39
17.6%	34
14.5%	28
100%	193

(Scenario A) Designate sensitive resource areas (such as habitat, floodplains, steep slopes) as areas with greatly reduced development potential from which density can be transferred to the Town of Jackson. (select one)		On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options		Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree		18.7%	159
Agree		43.2%	367
Neutral		12.1%	103
Disagree		9.9%	84
Strongly Disagree		8.5%	72
Don't know / no opinion		8.0%	68
		<i>answered question</i>	850
		<i>skipped question</i>	111

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count
59.4%	111
24.6%	46
4.8%	9
3.2%	6
4.8%	9
3.2%	6
100%	172

(Scenario A) Allow an increase in development in the Jackson downtown (outside town square) as a tradeoff for conserving resources in designated areas. (select one)		On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options		Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree		31.6%	268
Agree		45.5%	386
Neutral		9.1%	77
Disagree		7.5%	64
Strongly Disagree		5.3%	45
Don't know / no opinion		1.3%	11
		<i>answered question</i>	848
		<i>skipped question</i>	113

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count
48.4%	90
35.0%	65
5.9%	11
5.9%	11
4.3%	8
0.5%	1
100%	186

(Scenario A) Limit development in county rural and resource areas while increasing development potential in the Town of Jackson as a trade-off. (select one)		On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options		Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree		29.2%	248
Agree		40.6%	344
Neutral		11.1%	94
Disagree		10.3%	87
Strongly Disagree		7.3%	62
Don't know / no opinion		1.5%	13
		<i>answered question</i>	848
		<i>skipped question</i>	113

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count
51.3%	97
27.0%	51
3.2%	6
9.5%	18
7.4%	14
1.6%	2
100%	189

(Scenario B) Allow additional residential development within 1/2 mile of the Aspens/Teton Pines commercial area. (select one)		On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count	
Strongly Agree	20.7%	176	
Agree	41.2%	350	
Neutral	12.5%	106	
Disagree	12.8%	109	
Strongly Disagree	10.8%	92	
Don't know / no opinion	2.2%	19	
<i>answered question</i>		849	
<i>skipped question</i>		112	

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count
24.4%	47
26.4%	51
7.8%	15
17.6%	34
21.2%	41
2.6%	5
100%	193

(Scenario B) Allow additional residential development near the Wilson core area. (select one)		On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count	
Strongly Agree	23.3%	198	
Agree	44.5%	378	
Neutral	10.4%	88	
Disagree	10.8%	92	
Strongly Disagree	10.2%	87	
Don't know / no opinion	1.1%	9	
<i>answered question</i>		849	
<i>skipped question</i>		112	

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count
28.1%	54
35.4%	68
4.7%	9
12.0%	23
18.8%	36
1.0%	2
100%	192

(Scenario B) Allow some town-level residential density in South Park (within half mile south of High School Road). (select one)		On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options		Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree		23.4%	199
Agree		43.8%	373
Neutral		8.6%	73
Disagree		10.2%	87
Strongly Disagree		13.5%	115
Don't know / no opinion		0.9%	8
		<i>answered question</i>	852
		<i>skipped question</i>	109

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count
32.6%	62
35.8%	68
5.8%	11
6.8%	13
19.0%	36
0.0%	0
100%	190

(Scenario B) Allow some town-level residential density in South Park near South Park Loop Road (South of Rafter J). (select one)		On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options		Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree		18.7%	159
Agree		27.0%	230
Neutral		10.0%	85
Disagree		15.2%	129
Strongly Disagree		28.7%	244
Don't know / no opinion		0.8%	7
		<i>answered question</i>	851
		<i>skipped question</i>	110

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count
15.8%	29
23.4%	43
2.7%	5
11.4%	21
46.2%	85
0.5%	1
100%	184

(Scenario B) Allow additional residential and mixed-use development near the Hoback Junction. (select one)		On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count	
Strongly Agree	17.6%	150	
Agree	41.3%	352	
Neutral	17.4%	148	
Disagree	14.4%	123	
Strongly Disagree	7.3%	62	
Don't know / no opinion	2.3%	20	
<i>answered question</i>		852	
<i>skipped question</i>		109	

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count
15.0%	29
33.5%	65
14.4%	28
16.0%	31
19.1%	37
2.1%	4
100%	194

(Scenario B) Should we remove any of the growth centers on Scenario B? (select all that apply)		On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count	
Aspens/Teton Pines	23.6%	194	
Wilson	22.6%	186	
South Park near High School Road	18.1%	149	
South Park near South Park Loop Road	41.6%	342	
Town of Jackson	6.1%	50	
Hoback	23.7%	195	
None	37.3%	307	
<i>answered question</i>		823	
<i>skipped question</i>		138	

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count
16.4%	68
14.4%	60
10.6%	44
26.7%	111
4.6%	19
15.6%	65
11.8%	49
100%	416

(Scenario C) Limit downtown redevelopment to 2 stories in the area immediately surrounding the town square. (select one)		On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options		Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree		24.7%	208
Agree		23.8%	200
Neutral		11.4%	96
Disagree		23.8%	200
Strongly Disagree		15.7%	132
Don't know / no opinion		1.0%	8
		<i>answered question</i>	841
		<i>skipped question</i>	120

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count
34.7%	66
17.9%	34
5.8%	11
20.0%	38
21.1%	40
0.5%	1
100%	190

(Scenario C) Allow downtown redevelopment with mixed-use and housing to 3 stories along major corridors outside of town square area. (select one)		On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options		Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree		22.7%	193
Agree		44.8%	381
Neutral		10.1%	86
Disagree		12.6%	107
Strongly Disagree		9.1%	77
Don't know / no opinion		1.1%	9
		<i>answered question</i>	850
		<i>skipped question</i>	111

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count
40.7%	79
32.0%	62
4.1%	8
10.8%	21
12.4%	24
0.0%	0
100%	194

(Scenario C) Allow downtown redevelopment with mixed-use and housing up to 4 stories along major corridors outside of town square area. (select one)		On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options		Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree		15.2%	129
Agree		19.2%	163
Neutral		8.3%	71
Disagree		24.2%	206
Strongly Disagree		32.4%	276
Don't know / no opinion		1.1%	9
		<i>answered question</i>	851
		<i>skipped question</i>	110

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count
16.6%	32
18.1%	35
6.2%	12
18.7%	36
39.4%	76
0.0%	2
100%	193

(Scenario B&C) Increase the provision of deed restricted workforce/affordable housing as a priority over additional commercial or resort development. (select one)	On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree	41.3%	349
Agree	31.5%	266
Neutral	10.3%	87
Disagree	7.7%	65
Strongly Disagree	7.2%	61
Don't know / no opinion	2.4%	20
<i>answered question</i>		845
<i>skipped question</i>		116

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count
50.8%	98
26.4%	51
7.3%	14
4.7%	9
10.4%	20
0.5%	1
100%	193

UW Phone Survey March 2008	
Valid Percent	Response Count
33.4%	189
37.6%	213
12.2%	69
11.1%	63
5.7%	32
100%	566

(Scenario C) Promote the long-term redevelopment of the Jackson "Y" area (improve road network, pedestrian-orientation, additional workforce housing and services).		On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options		Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree		34.2%	290
Agree		47.5%	403
Neutral		9.7%	82
Disagree		3.8%	32
Strongly Disagree		2.8%	24
Don't know / no opinion		2.5%	21
		<i>answered question</i>	849
		<i>skipped question</i>	112

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count
51.0%	99
33.0%	64
6.2%	12
4.6%	9
4.6%	9
0.5%	1
100%	194

UW Phone Survey March 2008	
Valid Percent	Response Count
22.9%	129
49.9%	281
11.5%	65
10.7%	60
5.0%	28
100%	563

(Scenario C) Allow town-level residential development within 1/2 mile south of High School Road. (select one)		On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count	
Strongly Agree	18.8%	160	
Agree	44.9%	382	
Neutral	9.3%	79	
Disagree	12.9%	110	
Strongly Disagree	12.8%	109	
Don't know / no opinion	1.5%	13	
<i>answered question</i>		850	
<i>skipped question</i>		111	

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count
26.3%	51
25.3%	49
5.7%	11
16.5%	32
25.8%	50
0.5%	1
100%	194

(Scenario C) Allow some commercial development within 1/2 mile south of High School Road. (select one)	On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree	10.5%	89
Agree	36.4%	308
Neutral	13.9%	118
Disagree	21.6%	183
Strongly Disagree	16.5%	140
Don't know / no opinion	1.3%	11
<i>answered question</i>		846
<i>skipped question</i>		115

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count
12.2%	23
16.5%	31
4.8%	9
22.3%	42
44.2%	83
0.0%	0
100%	188

(Scenario D) Limit development overall in rural parts of Teton County and limit redevelopment of Jackson. (select one)	On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree	20.3%	172
Agree	20.8%	176
Neutral	11.6%	98
Disagree	28.9%	245
Strongly Disagree	16.2%	137
Don't know / no opinion	2.7%	23
<i>answered question</i>		848
<i>skipped question</i>		113

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count
32.0%	62
21.7%	42
4.6%	9
21.7%	42
18.6%	36
1.6%	3
100%	194

UW Phone Survey March 2008	
Valid Percent	Response Count
19.9%	112
40.9%	230
9.6%	54
20.2%	114
9.4%	53
100%	563

(Scenario D) Minimize future change in downtown and residential neighborhoods. (select one)		On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count	
Strongly Agree	12.8%	108	
Agree	18.9%	160	
Neutral	15.1%	128	
Disagree	36.0%	305	
Strongly Disagree	16.4%	139	
Don't know / no opinion	1.2%	10	
<i>answered question</i>		847	
<i>skipped question</i>		114	

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count
20.5%	39
13.7%	26
11.6%	22
28.4%	54
25.8%	49
0.0%	0
100%	190

UW Phone Survey March 2008	
Valid Percent	Response Count
14.4%	82
28.7%	164
16.5%	94
32.9%	188
7.5%	43
100%	571

(Scenario D) Depend on workforce housing to be provided outside of the county in neighboring communities (such as Teton County, Idaho) as a trade-off for limiting development in Teton County and Jackson. (select			On-Line Survey March 2008	
Answer Options			Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree			16.5%	141
Agree			17.7%	151
Neutral			12.5%	107
Disagree			22.3%	190
Strongly Disagree			30.8%	263
Don't know / no opinion			0.5%	4
			<i>answered question</i>	853
			<i>skipped question</i>	108

Public Meeting - January 30, 2008	
Response Percent	Response Count
19.2%	36
14.4%	27
5.9%	11
17.6%	33
42.0%	79
1.1%	2
100%	188

UW Phone Survey March 2008	
Valid Percent	Response Count
10.8%	61
26.1%	148
12.2%	69
31.6%	179
19.4%	110
100%	567

Expansion of town to provide for more housing is OK if an equal amount of land somewhere else in the County is preserved from development. (select one)	Public Meeting - January 30, 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree	19.4%	32
Agree	28.8%	52
Neutral	6.3%	21
Disagree	14.7%	29
Strongly Disagree	27.8%	40
Don't know / no opinion	3.1%	6
<i>answered question</i>		180

More development and population in South Park area if this means limiting development in rural areas of Teton County. (select one)	Public Meeting - January 30, 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree	17.8%	37
Agree	28.9%	55
Neutral	11.7%	12
Disagree	16.1%	28
Strongly Disagree	22.2%	53
Don't know / no opinion	3.3%	6
<i>answered question</i>		191

Consider a Transfer of Development Rights (TDR) program to accomplish objectives such as agricultural and wildlife habitat protection (select one)	Public Meeting - January 30, 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree	44.9%	80
Agree	38.2%	68
Neutral	4.5%	8
Disagree	5.1%	9
Strongly Disagree	4.5%	8
Don't know / no opinion	2.8%	5
<i>answered question</i>		178

In order to limit development in rural areas of Teton County, more development and population growth should be allowed in certain county centers (suc as Aspen/Teton Pines, Wilson, South Park, Hoback, Teton Village, Town of Jackson).		UW Phone Survey March 2008	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count	
Strongly Agree	19.6%	110	
Agree	44.6%	250	
Neutral	10.3%	58	
Disagree	17.8%	100	
Strongly Disagree	7.7%	43	
Don't know / no opinion			
answered question			584

Development should be increased in the Jackson downtown area outside of the Town Square and the Jackson "Y" as a tradeoff for conserving natural resources.		UW Phone Survey March 2008	
Answer Options		Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree		23.0%	129
Agree		46.3%	260
Neutral		8.7%	49
Disagree		16.8%	94
Strongly Disagree		5.2%	29
Don't know / no opinion			
answered question			561

The County and Town should promote walking, bicycling, transit, and carpooling as alternatives to widening roads.		UW Phone Survey March 2008	
Answer Options		Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree		41.9%	241
Agree		35.7%	205
Neutral		7.0%	40
Disagree		10.6%	61
Strongly Disagree		4.9%	28
Don't know / no opinion			
<i>answered question</i>			575

More lanes should be added to existing roads and intersections to relieve traffic for all modes of travel.		UW Phone Survey March 2008	
Answer Options		Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree		10.1%	57
Agree		30.7%	174
Neutral		11.7%	66
Disagree		36.4%	206
Strongly Disagree		11.1%	63
Don't know / no opinion			
<i>answered question</i>			566